IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, C.G.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2637 /2004

(Petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)

PETITIONERS : 1) Smt. Hatiyarin Bai, aged about 55 years, wife of Baldu Sahu, at present working as a "Gangman", under the office of Sub Divisional Officer, Mahanadi Head Work Sub Division No.1 Rudri Distt. Dhamtari, (C.G.) R/o Village Bhanpuri, Tahsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

2) Smt. Hatiyarin Bai Sahu, wife of Dasru Sahu, aged about 55 years, at present working as a "Gangman" under the office of Sub Divisional Officer, Mahanadi Head Work Sub Division no.1 Rudri, Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.) R/o village Chhati, Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

P. R. No. 2637/04 Kumpm
Presented by Shri ...
dated 9/7/04

RECEIVED COPY 17/8/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2) Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Water Project Canal, Division no.2 Rudri, Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

3) Sub Divisional Officer, Mahanadi Head Work, Sub Division No.1 Rudri, Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI, APPROPRIATE WRITS, ORDERS AND DIRECTONS ETC.

(39)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 2637/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	27.10.2004 Shri Vishnu Koshta, counsel for the petitioners. Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Heard. This case relates to a Gangman employee of Water Resources Department. Counsel for the petitioners submits that a decision has been taken by the State on 16.08.2004 whereby the age in the Water Resources Department has been kept as 60 years. He further submits that inspite of this decision of the State Government dated 16.08.2004, the department has not taken any step. He also submits that in similar matter bearing W.P. No. 172/2003 (*Sonu Ram Sahoo –v- State of Chhattisgarh and others*) orders have been passed on 29.9.2004. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. State counsel does not dispute the submission of the counsel for the petitioners.	

(40)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO. 2637/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Having thus considered, in view of order dated 16.8.2004, the Department ought to have taken steps and orders are required to be passed by the Department. Let the orders be passed in accordance with the order dated 16.8.2004. It is further directed that till orders are passed, the petitioners be allowed to continue. Subject to the directions and observations made above, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

Vatti